EARLY CHURCH HISTORY

The First 300 years

"Canonicity of the NT"

Introduction

- 1. Definition: "canon" rule/list
 - The list of books which are recognized as the rule of belief and practice

2. Need for a Canon

 Need for an authoritative, objective, written source for practice and doctrine

3. Essential Issue

- The recognition of authority/inspiration
- Authority determines canonicity not viceversa

Key Question: "What criteria was used to determine whether a given book was canonical?"

Popular View

1. "Process of Recognition"

- great number of letters contending for recognition during first 300 years
- once Christianity is legal, there is an great need for a recognized canon
 - ➤ Eusebius (330's)—50 Bibles
 - Council of Carthage (397)—selects these 27 books as canonical

2. Criteria

- a.) Authorship
- b.) Orthodoxy
- c.) Wide Acceptance/Use
- d.) Evidence of Inspiration

CANONICITY

Greek Apologist (120-170A.D.)

- l. Justin
 - -taught in Rome (138-161A.D.)
 - -refers to the "memoirs of the apostles" (read in church service)
- 2. Papias
 - -taught in Asia Minor (140AD.)
 - -comments on the gospels (*Mark is Peter's interpreter)
- 3. Marcion
 - -heretic (140AD.); held only to Paul's writings
 - -lists 10 Pauline epistles (canon based on authorship)
- 4. Heraclion
 - -Gnostic heretic (160A.D.); disciple of Velentinus
 - -first commentator on the N.T.; smallest details ot text divinely intended
- 5. Muratorian Canon
 - -Latin document listing authoritative books (170A.D.)--church at Rome
 - -Luke (third gospel), John, Acts, Paul's thirteen epistles, Jude, 1&2
 - John, Revelation
 - *rejected non-apostlic books
- 5. Old Syriac and Old Latin Versions
 - -first translations from Greek (150-200A.D.)
 - -present canon except 2 Peter

Historical View

1. "Immediate Reception"

- from the beginning the church recognized certain writings as uniquely authoritative—the very books that compose our NT
- these writing were widely known and used, and distinguished from other writings

2. Criteria

*ONLY ONE: APOSTOLIC AUTHORSHIP

- A book from the hand of an apostle was immediately received as authoritative
 - The apostles wrote with authority
 - The church recognized the authority of the apostolic office—words were true
 - Not a long process to receive a book, but immediate

"Non-Apostolic" Authorship

1. Gospel of Mark

- Mark is Peter's interpreter; the authority of this book derives from Peter (apostolic)
- Mark' relationship to Peter (1 Peter 5:13)

[The role of an "amanuensis"]

2. Gospel of Luke & Acts

 Luke was Paul's companion and amanuensis; these books derive their authority from Paul

3. Epistle to the Hebrews

- Three historic options:
 - a) sole authorship of Paul
 - sole authorship of one of Paul's companions (e.g. Barnabas; Luke)
 - c) joint authorship of Paul & companion
- · In any case, its authority derives from Paul

4. Epistles of James & Jude

- Issue: several James' and Jude's in NT
- Two possibilities:
 - a) James & Jude who were apostles
 - > maybe brothers, sons of Alphaues
 - Catholic & Traditional Protestant Views
 - b) James & Jude—brothers of Jesus
 - these men were given special "apostolic" status due to their relationship with Jesus (Acts 15; Gal. 1:19; 2:9; 1 Cor. 15:7)

Value of this Doctrine

1. Objective Criterion

- Not subjective judgment made 300 years after the books were written
- Confidence in the authority of the NT

2. Closed Canon

- Explains why no other books are being added to the NT
- Authoritative revelation is complete

Greek Apologist (A.D. 120-170)

1. Justin (Rome 138-161)

Refers to "memoirs of the apostles"

2. Papias (140)

Comments on the gospels

3. Marcion (140)

- First canon; held only to Paul's writings
- Rejected by the church as a heretic

4. Muratorian Canon (170)

- Latin document from Rome listing received books
- Missing only Hebrews, James, 1 John, 2 Peter

5. Old Syriac & Old Latin Versions

- First translations from Greek (150)
- Present canon except 2 Peter